

# Solution to the exam in FY3464 QUANTUM FIELD THEORY I

Wednesday october 17, 2007

This solution consists of 4 pages.

### Problem 1.

Consider the model defined by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi \, \partial^{\mu} \varphi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 + \lambda \varphi \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\varphi$  is a real scalar field,  $\boldsymbol{E} = -\dot{\boldsymbol{A}}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}$ .

**a**) Find the canonically conjugate field  $\Pi_{\varphi}$  of  $\varphi$ .

$$\Pi_{\varphi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = \dot{\varphi}.$$
(2)

b) Find the canonically conjugate field  $\Pi_A$  of A.

$$\Pi_{\boldsymbol{A}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{A}}} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{E}} = -\lambda \varphi \boldsymbol{B}.$$
(3)

c) Find the Hamiltonian density  $\mathcal{H}$  of this model.

$$\mathcal{H} = \Pi_{\varphi} \dot{\varphi} + \Pi_{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{A} - \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\varphi}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi + \frac{1}{2} m^{2} \varphi^{2}.$$
(4)

d) We use natural units. What is the mass dimension of the coupling parameter  $\lambda$ :

(i) In 4 space-time dimensions? (ii) In d space-time dimensions?

By comparing dimensions between the first two terms in the Lagrangian (1),

$$\left[m^2 \varphi^2\right] = \left[m\right]^2 \left[\varphi\right]^2 = \left[\partial_\mu \varphi \,\partial^\mu \varphi\right] = \ell^{-2} \left[\varphi\right]^2,$$

we note that mass and length dimensions are inverse in natural units (as is also obvious from the expression for Compton wavelength). From the fact that the action  $S = \int d^d x \mathcal{L}$  must be dimensionless (dimension of  $\hbar$ ) it follows that  $\mathcal{L}$  must have dimension  $\ell^{-d} = [\partial_{\mu}\varphi \,\partial^{\mu}\varphi] = [\varphi]^2 \,\ell^{-2}$ , i.e. that

$$[\varphi] = \ell^{(2-d)/2} = [m]^{(d-2)/2}$$

NTNU

Solution FY3464 Quantum Field Theory I, Oct. 17, 2007 Page 2 of 4

The dimension of  $\boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}$  cannot be read out of the Lagrangian (1), although it can be seen that  $[\boldsymbol{E}] = [\boldsymbol{B}]$  from their relations to  $\boldsymbol{A}$ . This was an oversight in the exam set; it was assumed that the dimensions are the same as in the "standard" case, when there is a term  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maxwell}} = \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{E}^2 - \boldsymbol{B}^2)$  in the Lagrangian. This leads to the result that that  $[\boldsymbol{E}] = [\boldsymbol{B}] = m^{d/2}$ . It now follows that

$$[\lambda] = [m]^d [\varphi]^{-1} [\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}]^{-1} = [\varphi]^{-1} = [m]^{(2-d)/2}.$$
(5)

I.e, the mass dimension is -1 in the case when d = 4.

e) Find the Euler Lagrange equation for  $\varphi$ .

We find that

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_{\mu} arphi} = \partial^{\mu} arphi \quad ext{and} \quad rac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial arphi} = -m^2 arphi + \lambda oldsymbol{E} \cdot oldsymbol{B}_{2}$$

so that the Euler Lagrange equation,

$$\partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_{\mu} \varphi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi},$$

becomes

$$\left(\Box + m^2\right)\varphi = \lambda \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}.$$
(6)

f) Find the Euler Lagrange equation for A.

It is convenient to first write

$$oldsymbol{E}\cdotoldsymbol{B}=-arepsilon^{\ell jk}\dot{A}^\ell\,\partial_jA^k=-arepsilon^{jk\ell}\dot{A}^j\,\partial_kA^\ell,$$

so that we find

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{A}^{\ell}} = -\lambda \varphi \, \varepsilon^{\ell j k} \partial_j A^k = -\lambda \varphi \, B^\ell \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial_k A^\ell} = -\lambda \varphi \, \varepsilon^{j k \ell} \dot{A}^j.$$

Thus, the Euler-Lagrange equation becomes

$$-\lambda \left[\partial_0(\varphi B^\ell) - \varepsilon^{\ell k j} \partial_k(\varphi \dot{A}^j)\right] = -\lambda \left(\dot{\varphi} B^\ell + \varepsilon^{\ell k j} E^j \partial_k \varphi\right) - \lambda \varphi \left[\dot{B}^\ell - (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{A}})^\ell\right].$$

The last term on the right vanishes. Assuming  $\lambda \neq 0$  we arrive at the equation

$$\boldsymbol{B}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} - \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0. \tag{7}$$

**Comment:** By introducing the electromagnetic field tensor  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}$ , and its dual field tensor  $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}F_{\lambda\sigma}$ , this equation can be written in manifestly covariant form<sup>1</sup>,

$$\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}\varphi = 0. \tag{8}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We have the relations  $E^i = F^{0i} = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijk} \tilde{F}^{jk}$ , and  $B^i = \tilde{F}^{0i} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijk} F^{jk}$ . I.e. the duality transformation  $F^{\mu\nu} \to \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$  amounts to  $(\boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{B}) \to (\boldsymbol{B}, -\boldsymbol{E})$ .

Solution FY3464 Quantum Field Theory I, Oct. 17, 2007

g) The Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L}$  is invariant under the transformation

$$A(x,t) \rightarrow A'(x,t) = A(x,t) + \nabla \Lambda(x),$$

for all differentiable functions  $\Lambda(\boldsymbol{x})$ . Use the Nöther theorem to find the corresponding conserved Nöther current  $J_{\Lambda}$ .

The general expression for the Nöther current is

$$J^{\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_{\mu} \Phi_{a}} \,\delta \Phi_{a},\tag{9}$$

Page 3 of 4

where  $\Phi_a$  runs over all available fields. Here we have  $\delta \varphi = 0$  and  $\delta \mathbf{A} = \nabla \Lambda$ . Thus we find

$$J_{\Lambda}^{0} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Lambda = -\lambda \varphi \, \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Lambda, \qquad (10)$$

$$J^{k}_{\Lambda} = \lambda \varphi \, \varepsilon^{jk\ell} E^{j} \partial_{\ell} \Lambda = -\lambda \varphi \left( \boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Lambda \right)^{k} \,. \tag{11}$$

Comment: By introducing the dual field tensor this can also be written in manifestly covariant form

$$J^{\mu}_{\Lambda} = -\lambda \varphi \,\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \Lambda. \tag{12}$$

#### Problem 2.

The field expansion of the free electromagnetic field in Coulomb gauge is

$$\boldsymbol{A}(x) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\boldsymbol{k}|V}} \left( a_{\boldsymbol{k},r} \, \hat{e}_{\boldsymbol{k},r} \, \mathrm{e}^{-ikx} + \mathrm{hermitian \ conjugate} \right). \tag{13}$$

Then the matrix element  $\langle \Omega | a_{\boldsymbol{q},s} \boldsymbol{A}(x) | \Omega \rangle$  equals

A. 0 B.  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\boldsymbol{q}|V}} \hat{e}_{\boldsymbol{q},s} e^{-iqx}$ C. *aq*,*s* D.  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\boldsymbol{q}|V}} \hat{e}_{\boldsymbol{q},s}^* e^{iqx}$ Х None of the alternatives above. E.

#### Problem 3.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the time ordering operator, and  $\varphi(x)$ ,  $\varphi^{\dagger}(x)$  quantized complex Klein Gordon fields. Then we have (in natural units, i.e. when  $\hbar = c = 1$ )

- A.  $\mathcal{T}\left\{\varphi(x)\varphi^{\dagger}(y)\right\} = \mathcal{T}\left\{\varphi^{\dagger}(y)\varphi(x)\right\}$ B.  $\mathcal{T}\left\{\varphi(x)\varphi^{\dagger}(y)\right\} = \mathcal{T}\left\{\varphi^{\dagger}(y)\varphi(x)\right\} + \mathrm{i}G_{F}(x-y)$ C.  $\mathcal{T}\left\{\varphi(x)\varphi^{\dagger}(y)\right\} = \mathcal{T}\left\{\varphi^{\dagger}(y)\varphi(x)\right\} - \mathrm{i}G_{F}(x-y)$ D.  $\mathcal{T}\left\{\varphi(x)\varphi^{\dagger}(y)\right\} = -\mathcal{T}\left\{\varphi^{\dagger}(y)\varphi(x)\right\} - \mathrm{i}G_F(x-y)$
- E. None of the alternatives above.

Here  $G_F(x-y)$  is the Feynman propagator for a complex Klein Gordon field.

## Problem 4.

The Dirac equation

$$\left[\mathrm{i}\left(\gamma^{0}\partial_{0}+oldsymbol{\gamma}\cdotoldsymbol{
abla}
ight)-m
ight]\psi(x^{0},oldsymbol{x})=0$$

is invariant under space inversion (parity transformation),  $x \to -x$ . I.e, if  $\psi(x^0, x)$  solves the Dirac equation then so does  $\psi_P(x^0, \boldsymbol{x})$ , where

A. 
$$\psi_P(x^0, x) = i\gamma^2 \psi^*(x^0, -x)$$
  
B.  $\psi_P(x^0, x) = \gamma^1 \gamma^3 \psi^*(x^0, -x)$   
C.  $\psi_P(x^0, x) = \psi(x^0, -x)$   
D.  $\psi_P(x^0, x) = \gamma^0 \psi(x^0, -x)$   
E.  $\psi_P(x^0, x) = \psi^*(-x^0, -x)$